Courtesy copy, original filed in ECF Docket Number CV 18-07219 (JS) Document No. 7 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK 1 COUNTY OF NASSAU : CRIMINAL TERM PART 47 2 ------X Indictment 3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, : No. 1050N-15 NYSID No. -against-13048712P 5 RAY ROSS, : CRSXCONCH2 6 Defendant. _____X Sentence 7 June 30, 2016 8 262 Old Country Road 9 Mineola, New York 10 BEFORE: 11 HONORABLE TERENCE P. MURPHY, 12 Acting Supreme Court Justice 13 APPEARANCES: 14 HON. MADELINE SINGAS Nassau County District Attorney 15 For the People BY: ANTHONY R. PERRI, ESQ., 16 Assistant District Attorney of Counsel. 17 SCOTT B. ZERNER, ESQ. 18 Attorney for Defendant 277 Broadway - Suite 408 19 New York, New York 10007 20 21 22 LISA H. WINKLER 23 Senior Court Reporter 24 25

	Proceedings 2
ı	THE CLERK: On the sentencing calendar,
2	indictment 1050N of !15, People versus Ray Ross.
3	Mr. Ross, step up with your attorney.
4	Appearances for the People.
5	MR. PERRI: Assistant District Attorney
6	Anthony Perri. Good morning, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Good morning.
8	THE CLERK: Representing Mr. Ross.
9	MR. ZERNER: Scott Zerner, Z-E-R-N-E-R,
10	277 Broadway, Suite 408, New York, New York, for
11	Mr. Ross. Good morning, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Good morning.
13	THE CLERK: Sir, you are Ray Ross; is that
14	correct?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16	THE CLERK: Mr. Ross, you appear here with
17	your attorney, Mr. Zerner, for sentence; is that
18	correct, sir?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that's correct.
20	THE CLERK: Counsel, is your client ready for
21	sentencing?
22	MR. ZERNER: He is, your Honor. I do have
23	three more letters that I'd like to provide to your
24	Honor. They were only delivered to me in the last 24
25	hours. I have copies.

3 Proceedings This is for the Judge. This is for 1 2 Mr. Perri. THE CLERK: Do People wish to be heard before 3 sentence is imposed? 4 MR. PERRI: Yes, your Honor. The People did 5 serve notice there would be two victim impact 6 statements from the mother of the victim, as well as 7 the child. They decided to do that in the form of a 8 letter, which I ask to read into the record. 9 THE COURT: Very good. 10 MR. PERRI: The first is from Ms. Sarita 11 Johnson, addressed to the Court. 12 Dear Judge Murphy, I am writing you as the 13 mother of Millinia Johnson, who was the victim in this 14 case. 15 What Ray Ross did to my daughter affected her 16 emotionally. I feel that the trauma that she 17 experienced has caused her to be fearful, closed up, 18 and still unable to fully heal. Though she is still 19 social, there are a lot of things underneath that she 20 still deals with. There is a wall from this 21 experience. She is closed up and melancholy or 22 depressed below the surface. She is unable to trust 23 other people to work through what happened to her. 24

25

lhw

As a family, we are also now less trusting of

people. It has shocked and devastated us. We are still trying to fully absorb what took place in our home, and just trying to get through it day by day.

Going to trial in this case was for my daughter extremely stressful. Reliving the accounts of what took place was mortifying for her. It was emotionally taxing to bring it all up, a series of horrible experiences, especially for a child, given the sexual nature of the crimes.

Putting her through the battery of cross-examination questions and making a child believe that she should be distrusted was extremely painful and difficult. No mother wants her daughter to go through this, but I am proud of her for standing up for herself and telling the jury what happened.

Instead, the defendant never took
responsibility for his actions, and it took a jury
convicting him of a felony to hold him accountable.
Therefore, I believe that he deserves the maximum
punishment allowed. Years in prison will gave him time
to appreciate what he did to Millinia, to really think
about his crimes, how it affects a young person's life
to be sexually abused by someone she trusted.

Ray Ross betrayed her and our family. It is sickening that he would attempt to do something like

1hw

2.

5 Proceedings that to a 12-year-old girl who admired him. 1 Sentencing the defendant to prison will also 2 3 give us peace, knowing we are safe, and that Millinia can grow up without the defendant in her life. I think it is also possible that Ray Ross would do this again 5 to another girl if he had the chance. So I ask the 6 Court to sentence Ray Ross to the maximum amount of 7 jail allowed. 8 I thank the Court for reading my letter and 9 for considering my thoughts. 10 Sincerely, Ms. Sarita Johnson. 11 12 THE COURT: Thank you. MR. PERRI: The second letter, your Honor, is 13 14 from Millinia Johnson. Dear Judge Murphy, I am writing you to tell 15 the Court what my feelings are about Ray Ross's 16 17 sentencing. What Ray Ross did to me was very wrong and 18 stopped me from having a normal childhood. No girl 19 should be put in the position he caused me to be in. 20 21 was too young to make adult choices. He convinced me that I loved him, which was wrong. 22 23 I am glad that I testified at the trial. was the first step in putting this experience behind 24

But it was difficult because of how awkward it was

25

to have Ray Ross staring at me as I was talking about what happened. I also felt embarrassed to tell the jury, a bunch of strangers, all the sexual acts he did to me and he had me do. The mean tone of the defense lawyer when he questioned me also made everything

Before Ray Ross started sexually abusing me,
I thought of him like an uncle, but after hundreds of
times of him abusing me and now realizing it was wrong,
he is just a criminal who used me to get the perverted
stuff that he wanted.

Ray Ross touched my vagina, my breasts, and my butt. He put his mouth on my vagina. He had me touch his penis, and he rubbed his penis on my butt and ejaculated on me. He did these things to me many times.

Because of what he did, I am asking you to sentence him to the longest time in jail possible.

What the defendant did affected not just me, but my whole family. We are all more distant now. I also worry that he would do this to another girl if he had a chance, so it is important that Ray Ross also be a sex offender.

Thank you for reading my letter. Sincerely, Millinia Johnson.

worse.

lhw

Your Honor, the People would recommend to the Court that the defendant be sentenced, upon his conviction on the Class D violent felony, course of sexual conduct against a child in the second degree, to

People's recommendation to the Court is based, first, on the fact that the defendant could have spared the victim. He could have taken ownership of his facts. He could have taken a plea to charges to spare her from the pain of having to testify about the embarrassing and emotionally devastating acts that were perpetrated against her in open court before a jury in the public.

the maximum sentence allowed by the law, seven years.

In this testimony, your Honor, that was corroborated, the text messages and phone records, she described hundreds of incidents of oral sex, with the defendant rubbing his penis on her buttocks. Defendant ejaculated on her, and she described to the Court the defendant's semen's appearance and its temperature at the time of the actual act.

What she described to the Court more than satisfied the requirement of the D felony sex abuse -- course of sexual conduct against a child in the second degree. The length of time she described to the Court more than exceeded the minimal times required by that

lhw

·

_ -

statute.

In this case, your Honor, the defendant was the sole participant. People's position is, there are no mitigating factors present that would warrant anything but an upstate period of incarceration.

Secondly, your Honor, the Court should take into consideration the defendant himself, though he did not have to, chose to take the stand. And his testimony, People would submit to the Court, was, and the jury found it to be, incredible.

Defendant sat on the stand and testified that he denied only the text messages -- out of all the text messages put before him, only those text messages that involved suggestions of sexual acts, specifically the word smashing, but acknowledged all other texts were sent by him, except for those.

He also testified on the stand, his texting and calling the victim at all hours of the day or night was perfectly normal. He claimed the texts that your Honor was able to view and the jury viewed, he claimed they are all part of him trying discipline a child.

His statements were completely disingenuous, show a lack of respect to the oath, show a lack of respect to the Court, and should be taken into consideration by the Court in sentencing the defendant.

lhw

(

(

In light of the statements by the victim and her mother, in light of the allegations, testimony in court that was corroborated, the People recommend to the Court the defendant be sentenced to the maximum amount of time under law of seven years, as well as a stay-away order of protection and registration pursuant to statute, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

THE CLERK: Anything further, People?

MR. PERRI: No.

THE CLERK: Does counsel wish to be heard?

MR. ZERNER: Thank you very much, your Honor.

Your Honor, I have many things to say, and when I am done speaking, my client would like to speak to the Court as well.

For almost the entire month of February, we tried this case in front of your Honor, Mr. Perri and myself. We heard from a multitude of witnesses, 12 different witnesses, and you heard very contrasting testimony about what happened, if it happened, when it happened, and what level there was to believe what, if anything, happened between my client and the complainant in this case.

Your Honor, it sounds that, according to the letters that Mr. Perri has read into the record, that

lhw

Proceedings

one of the problems that the complainant and her mother, and perhaps the People as well, have is that my client exercised his rights under the United States Constitution and under the United States Bill of Rights. They were not pleased that my client asserted his Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Amendment rights in this courthouse.

Your Honor obviously understands, my client had the right to demand that his accuser appear before him and before a jury of his peers. That's what happened. My client should not be punished for availing himself of the United States Constitution, and the People should not implore the Court to ask for a maximum sentence. That is what is disingenuous.

My client is a 56-year-old man who has never been charged with a crime. 56 years old. He's been an adult for 40 years. Never been charged with a crime until now. He was charged with these crimes, and the jury came back with a not-guilty verdict on the top count and a guilty verdict on the lesser counts.

Your Honor obviously has a huge amount of latitude in this situation. Combining the fact that my client has no convictions with the fact that the highest level conviction here was a D, as in dog, D felony, your Honor has the complete and total latitude

to issue what sentence you think is appropriate.

Now, your Honor, you see a multitude of people in the back of the courtroom, and almost all of these people that are here are here for Ray Ross. You heard from some of them during the course of the trial. Others were prepared to testify and your Honor ruled, either for expediency sake or for duplicative sake -- and I readily admit, I can be duplicative and probably will be during the course of this speech to your Honor -- that some of those people would not be heard.

But I ask you to think to yourself, who are all these people? And you heard, and I know you will recall, that this is a blended family that we are hearing about, that we were hearing about, and that still exists here.

You heard testimony that my client provided food as well as entertainment not just to the complainant here, but to her sisters, who you never heard testify, and that his actions, not in any kind of devious way, but in a kind, avuncular way, to use the uncle term that's already come up, he included several of the children of Ms. Sarita Johnson in his life, and these people, young adults, as well as his children, his biological children, spent time together at movies, at the aquarium, at the beach, at the park, wherever.

lhw

Ĺ

Ų

And you heard testimony that my client is a huge, huge influence on not just his own children, who are here in the courtroom and have provided letters to your Honor, not just to his current long-time paramour, the aunt of the complainant --

And please remember that the aunt of the complainant testified before your Honor, before the jury, when we tried the case back in February. She is and always has been with Mr. Ross. She does not believe the allegations that her niece has put forward. She brought forth to you and to the jury reasons that her sister would lie and that her sister would put her daughter, the complainant in this case, up to telling falsehoods, and that's what happened here, your Honor.

All of that being said, to remove Ray Ross from his family and from society will have a deleterious effect. It will only harm.

Now, Mr. Perri asked about an order of protection. Of course we have no objection to an order of protection. There has been an order of protection in effect in this case going back to the beginning, in April of 2015, when my client surrendered himself at the precinct. And from then until now, 14 months later, there has never been any allegation that there has been any contact between Mr. Ross and the

complainant in this case, and there never will be, your Honor.

There is no allegation of it. There is no reason for it. Mr. Ross has no contact with those folks, and they can and should feel comfortable living the rest of their lives, that they came to open court, they told a story, and that's what it was, a story. It was not true, your Honor.

And, again, you need to think of the two-by-two box that they learn sometimes the first day of law school, that maybe truths were told by truth-tellers, maybe falsehoods were told by liars, and maybe there is a combination. Maybe some truths were told by liars and maybe some falsehoods were told by truth-tellers. It was for the jury to decide, and now it's for you for decide what the actual sentence will be.

The order of protection, obviously, we have no problem with that. Unfortunately for Mr. Ross, he will be labeled as a sex offender for the rest of his life under Megan's Law, SORA, as it's now called, and he has to live with that, and that Scarlet letter will follow him until the day he dies. And I explained to him what that is and what his responsibilities will be, and he understands and, frankly, hates that that is

lhw

~

A

. **(19**)

~

.

A

•

.-,

something that is being thrust upon him, but he understands that is the result of the verdict in this case.

But beyond that, with your Honor having the opportunity to decide what, if anything, else will happen, I would ask your Honor to consider what a hard working man this person is. He's been working in private sanitation for his entire career. He wakes up long before dawn, and you heard testimony about that. He's worked different shifts and he's ready, willing, and able to work to stay out of jail.

And I ask you consider at this time, instead of a jail sentence, that your Honor consider a community service alternative, where this man, who is a man who is part of his community -- I had ready to testify his pastor, who was going to testify that this man tithes. He gives 10 percent of his meager salary to his church. He is active in his church. You heard testimony sometime the complainant would attend church with her aunt and with Mr. Ross.

You heard tons of testimony about Mr. Ross's impact on his family, the positive impact on his entire family and his extended family. So, your Honor, consider a community service alternative to any type of incarceration and to monitor him so that society and

lhw

(

your Honor and the community can be certain that there would be absolutely no future victims of anything at all. Put him on probation. Let him be on probation. Give him what we commonly call a split sentence with a community service alternative. He's being punished. His whole family has been torn apart.

Our view of what happened is, they were torn apart because two sisters had a huge schism, those two sisters being the paramour of Mr. Ross and the mother of the complainant. And, unfortunately, the impact and the mushroom cloud of that has now spread out to involve the criminal justice system and all the people heard from.

But now you can do what I would think would be something that would solve society's thoughts about what to do with Mr. Ross at this point after the compromise verdict, as well as when the district attorney asks for the maximum sentence on almost everything that comes across their desk.

The question then becomes, well, who should actually get that maximum sentence? This is not a man who has been in and out of jail for the last 40 years. This is a man who only now is being accused, let alone convicted of anything. That's not the man who deserves the maximum sentence.

lhw

I'm sure you have had these conversations, as did I when I was assistant district attorney, and people say, well, what's the maximum sentence for X, for a marijuana charge? Say the maximum is a year and the person, you know, the fright comes into their face and everyone else's. And that's the truth. The maximum is a year, except that commonly there is a progression of what happens as far as sentencing, and that progression is seen within the district attorney's offers of plea bargain and within the Court, the Judge's decision of what sentencing should be.

So the plea -- set aside the thought that the maximum sentence is anywhere near warranted in this case, and think to yourself about the family involved, the family that has been alleged to have been harmed, and that there are convictions on the lesser counts of being harmed.

They will never see or hear from Mr. Ross again. You are assured of that by the order of protection and you are assured of that by probation.

If there is any violation of that, there will be immediately a criminal contempt charge and a violation of probation, and Mr. Ross would be right back in front of you and have to answer for that.

Let him work to stay out of jail. I ask you

consider sentencing -- I believe 840 hours of community service would be that six months of jail, which would become -- two-thirds of that, I believe, is 120 days, times seven, I believe is 840, if my math is correct. I think that that's a reasonable sentence, that he stay working to support his family. Let him stay as part of his family.

I hope you have read the letters, some of which I provided when sentencing was last on, some I know I only provided a few minutes ago. But they all say the same thing, that this is a man who is good for his family, a man who is needed by these people. You saw these people during the course of the trial.

Thank you very much for your judicious thought process of listening to me, of listening to the testimony back in February. Thank you for considering these different types of sentences, being a mixed sentence. And I thank you for now listening to Mr. Ross, who would like to address you directly.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Zerner.

THE CLERK: Mr. Ross, anything you wish to say before the Court imposes sentence?

THE COURT: Mr. Ross, you can remain seated. You show no disrespect by sitting and speaking.

THE DEFENDANT: Hello, your Honor. I just

lhw

want to tell you that I just want leniency. I'm a man that works, and me and my daughter just bought a house, taking my grandkids, all these kids, and these things that happened to me just blew me away.

I have a lot of people that need me, and I take care of these people and they cannot handle the debt and stuff that we are in. I have -- I take care of them and want to continue doing that so that's my future, going to a nursing home Sunday after church and feeding people and go look out. These people needs me: I just want to continue to do good and ask you for leniency, your Honor.

And I have never been in trouble in my life.

These accusations is killing me. It tore me apart,

tore my family apart. I'm not that kind of person, and

I want to continue to help people and provide for my

family.

THE CLERK: Anything further, Mr. Ross?
THE DEFENDANT: No. No, sir.

THE CLERK: Then please give your attention to the Court.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Ross.

Have both counsel had the opportunity to review the Probation Department's presentence report?

MR. PERRI: Yes, your Honor.

lhw

(

19

1

MR. ZERNER: Yes, your Honor.

2

THE COURT: Any exceptions to that report

3

from either counsel?

4

MR. PERRI: No exceptions to the facts

MR. ZERNER: The only thing I would state,

5

reported in the report, your Honor.

6

your Honor, about the probation report is, Mr. Ross was

7 8

contacted by the author of the probation report on Good

9

Friday. Mr. Ross is a church-going person. He was

10

called about that on that holy day. He tried to

11

accommodate the schedule of the probation officer who

12

was writing that report by immediately going and

13

talking with her, and only after he had spoken to the

Now, I think that all of us in this room

14

probation officer that then he contacted me.

15

16

understand that a probation officer, somewhat like a

17

police officer, will sometimes just let a person talk

18

and let a person believe what the probation officer

thinks is best for the report or for the charges,

19 20

et cetera. Again, Mr. Ross stood before that probation

21

officer as someone who had never dealt with a probation

22

officer before. 40 years as an adult, never being

23

charged with a crime, never speaking to a police

24

officer, probation officer, anything. Then the report

25

was written.

Frankly, Mr. Ross called me afterwards and said, this is the nicest person. There is no way she's going to recommend anything but being on probation, and that's not what the report shows. And I think as an educated reader, I understood that and I know you understand that and I know Mr. Perri understands that, but Mr. Ross did not a hundred percent understand who he was speaking to or what he was saying to her.

All that being said, your Honor, again, based on what I have put before your Honor, I'd ask you sentence my client not to any jail time, but a community service alternative. If your Honor does think that jail time is the way to go, I ask it be local so my client can receive visits from his family.

Again, this is a person who has never done any jail time before. Please do not send him upstate.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Just so the record is clear, the Probation

Department's recommendation was for a probationary

sentence of five years with the special condition of

six months incarceration. The Court is not obligated

to follow that recommendation, but it accepts it and

considers it, as the Probation Department is an arm of

the Court, and the Court relies on the Probation

Department for its input and information that it

lhw

1 provides.

A couple of things. The charges against

Mr. Ross are no longer allegations. The People proved
the allegations beyond a reasonable doubt, as shown by
the jury's verdict.

The jury was the judges of the facts. They heard the testimony from the People, they heard the testimony from the defense, and they made a decision. They determined that Mr. Ross engaged in a course of sexual conduct against a child in the second degree, as well as endangered the welfare of that same child in two distinct periods of time.

This Court is not retrying the case here.

This Court's responsibility is to impose an appropriate sentence for the conduct that has been proved and determined by the judges of the facts, the jury in this trial.

Certainly Mr. Ross had every right to go to trial, to demand that the People prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, to be able to face his accuser and have his accuser face him. The Court takes no consideration with Mr. Ross exercising his right to go to trial. But having presided at the trial, the Court heard the testimony from all interested.

Mr. Zerner, you say this is the defendant's

lhw

•

.

<u>.</u>

. A

.

first time in the criminal justice system at 56 years old. The Court recognizes that, but it also recognizes the lifelong impact to the victim in this case, particularly the victim, but her mother as well.

Indeed, many sex offenders never have been charged with a crime prior to being arrested and charged and convicted of a sex offense. We are all shocked and surprised, how can that person do that? I couldn't believe it. But it happens over and over, day after day, year after year.

The victim in this case -- and she's not a complainant, she's not an alleger, she's a victim -- she was a young girl, less than 13 years old, trying to learn about herself, coming from a dysfunctional family -- that was uncontradicted -- trying to learn about her femininity, her womanhood, trying to be loved.

Mr. Ross, you took that away from her. You took it away from her family. The testimony revealed you pitted the victim -- and I won't use her name, because she's a child, even though it's been mentioned here -- you pitted her against her mother. I don't care who that lady was or what she was, it was her mother.

In the text messages, the victim wrote you

lhw

(

(

were a dad to her. She relied on you. She needed you,
just like many other people needed you.

And I heard all that testimony. You are a caring, giving person, taking care of everybody that needs to be taken care of. But you violated the most innocent person in that whole relationship, that whole extended family, in a way that she can never recover.

They will never see you again, you will never see them again, but you know what, the victim lives with it and will live with it every day. And I can just imagine her laying down there in the bed at night and seeing you in her mind and her heart and soul and crying about what happened.

There was no reason for her to make any of that testimony up when she sat here at trial. You, quite frankly, were the glue that held everybody together by working hard and paying the bills, with all the other turmoil that was going on in the family, and by her testifying here at trial, the victim knew that all that was going to be gone, that mushroom cloud, as your attorney has described it, appropriately so. But that's what happened because of your conduct.

I don't know what happened. You knew her since she was born. You lived at the address for 15 years. But then as she's transforming into a woman,

lhw

,

you groomed her. You were her favorite, you said. She was your favorite, you said in the text. And then you used her needs against her to secure her loyalty, her clarinet, her phones, things that she needed as a child to be a part of the school, to be a girl, to be welcomed among her friends and be part of the crowd.

You used her, Mr. Ross, in a way that no child should be used, and because of that and in fulfillment of my obligation as a judge, as a judge of the law, and my obligation to impose an appropriate sentence, I'll do so now.

Your family is going to be impacted, yes, and they are going to suffer too, but I can't worry too much about your family. I balance that. I balance it with what happened to the victim here all by herself, alone.

And your family was caring and loving of her as well. They treated her like a sister or a niece, but they had no idea what was going on in that truck on the way home and the way to, and that's why it's a shock and a surprise, and everybody says -- and I read all the letters -- he's helped us financially, emotionally, and been generous with his time. I'm in agreement with all that.

What you did was wrong and cruel and

violative and everlasting on the psyche, the mind, and the ability of that young victim to love another person as she grows into adulthood.

People have an order of protection?

MR. PERRI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Could I have it?

MR. PERRI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Ross, you appear before the Court for sentencing for the crimes of course of sexual conduct against a child in the second degree, a violation of Penal Law Section 130.80 subdivision 1B, a D violent sex offense felony. It has a maximum sentence range of two to seven years determinate sentence.

As well as, under Count 3, endangering the welfare of a child, and Count 4, the same A misdemeanor crime, violations of Penal Law Section 260.10 subdivision 1. Each of those has a maximum sentence of one year in the local jail.

You stand before the Court for sentencing for those crimes for which you stand convicted after trial, under Counts 2 through 4 respectively, under indictment 1050N of 2015, and in satisfaction thereof.

Therefore, under Count 2, it is ordered and adjudged that you are hereby sentenced to a determinate

lhw

term of imprisonment of three years as a violent felony sex offender.

As to Counts 3 and 4, you are sentenced to one year incarceration under each conviction.

All sentences shall run concurrently with each other. Therefore, you shall be committed to the custody of the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision, there to be dealt with in accordance with the terms of your sentence.

In addition, I am directing that you be subjected to five years post-release supervision under Count 2.

There is a mandatory surcharge you must pay of \$300, a crime victim assessment fee of \$25, a DNA fee of \$50, a sex offender registration fee of \$50, and a supplemental sex offender fee of \$1,000.

I'm issuing a full and permanent order of protection in favor of the victim in this case, the individual who testified at trial. Her name is set forth in the order of protection.

I now hand it to you for your acknowledgment that you have been advised in court of the issuance and contents of the order and you have personally been served a copy of this order in court.

This is a court order. It cannot be modified

charges being lodged against you.

Proceedings

or vacated unless done so by a judge of this court or a court of competent jurisdiction. Any violation of that order of protection will result in additional criminal

This order will remain in force and effect until the date set forth in the order.

THE CLERK: Let the record reflect service upon the People of two copies of the order of protection.

People acknowledge receipt?

MR. PERRI: People acknowledge receipt.

THE CLERK: A copy is being served on counsel and your client. Acknowledge receipt of that, and your client, Mr. Zerner?

MR. ZERNER: Yes, acknowledge receipt.

THE CLERK: Mr. Ross, listen up, sir. You have the right to appeal from this sentence and these proceedings. If you wish to appeal, you must file your notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within 30 days.

If you cannot afford a lawyer or the minutes of these proceedings, you may make application to the Appellate Division, which will, upon being satisfied you cannot afford the same, order an attorney be appointed and the minutes provided without any charge

lhw

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Proceedings 28 to you. 1 2 Your lawyer is directed by the Court to advise you in full and take the necessary steps as 3 4 indicated by you in this regard. 5 That concludes the proceedings. Officers, 6 please take charge. 7 THE COURT: Good luck, Mr. Ross. 8 MR. ZERNER: Your Honor, I ask the bail be 9 exonerated at this time. I think it does happen by 10 operation of law. 11 THE COURT: Bail is exonerated. 12 Thank you very much. MR. ZERNER: 13 14 15 16 The foregoing is hereby certified to be a true and 17 accurate transcript of the proceedings as transcribed 18 from the stenographic notes. 19 20 21 22 23 Senior Court Reporter 24 25